Virginia Citizens Defense League, Inc. P.O. Box 513 • Newington, VA 22122 • 804-639-0600 • Fax 804-739-8376 2011 Election Candidate Survey

Name:		To We	A \$			Position Soug	ht:			
Address:		ء . جنن	, .			Par	ty:			
City/State/ZIP:	i s	. خو.	±.	11	+ 6	Phor			······································	_
	F 4,	•	- 4		\$-		ix.			
Email:						Web S	ite		<u> </u>	-
n signi sa n ing pagangangan sa		<u> , a </u>	The second secon	والمراجعة والمراجعة والمراجعة		: <u> </u>	 	enterprise de la compansión de la compan	المعاورية والمتعاورة والمعاصد	د پښتانۍ
carry permit permits or a state that re unilateral re	ts. Inc adding ecognize ecognitions as Virg	reasingle a simple ses their ion of ot inia rece	ly, states e provision permits ther state ognizes r	are unila on to their These s es' permits	iterally rec r law that a states have s. es' permits	e they recog ognizing all c automatically onot experie s, more state it holders bei	other sta recogn nced ar s will re	ites' condizes the particular the problem of the pr	ealed handgermits of an ns due to the /irginia's	У
concealed i				٠.					•	
Will	you v	ote FOF	R legisla	tion reco	gnizing a	ll other stat	es' con	cealed ca	arry permits	; ?
	3.75			v v	. .		_		. • •	
Yes	<u> </u>	No								
Virginia I Most localiti application	ies do i	not requ	iire finge	rprinting -	– only 25%	i handgun pe 6 require it.	ermit ap This est	plicants a ablishes a	s a local opt a non-unifori	ior n
law-abiding	citizen	s like co	ommon c	riminals a	and results	led handgun in FBI regis s a fingerprir	tration c	of applicat	nts. No mat	ler
75% of Virg permits issu experienced	ied) do	not req	uire finge	other sta erprinting	ates (Penr of conces	sylvania, for lled weapons	exampl applica	e, with overthe and i	ver a half mil nave not	lioi
			OR legi un law?	slation to	o repeal ti	ne fingerprir	ıt langu	iage in V	irginia's	
Yes		No								
b. V Virg	Vill you inia's (u <u>PATR</u> concea	ON or C	<u>OPATRO</u> Igun law	<u>)N</u> legisla ?	tion to repea	al the fi	ngerprin	t language i	n
Yes		No		-	•					

3. Virginia law generally prohibits the carrying of guns in both public and private K-12 schools, except for the police (including off-duty, vacationing LEOs from other states).

So, an off duty, vacationing game warden from Hawaii can legally carry a loaded gun into a Virginia school classroom, but a Virginia citizen with a concealed handgun permit can't even get out of his car! This ban 1) infringes the rights of law abiding Virginians, 2) grants special privileges to citizens of other states who know little or nothing of Virginia law, and 3) makes it almost certain that children and school personnel would remain helpless in the face of a Columbine type of attack by a deranged student or stranger who will ignore the law against bringing a gun into the school.

Even the draconian federal Gun Free School Zone Act specifically exempts concealed handgun permit holders from its restrictions and allows permit holders to carry their firearms into classrooms.

Will you vote FOR legislation to more closely conform schoo	I gun policy with federal
standards by allowing concealed handgun permit holders to	
person while on school grounds?	

Yes	No	-

4. Virginia law does <u>not</u> prohibit the carry or possession of firearms on college and university property. However, many colleges and universities have established policies prohibiting students and staff from possessing or carrying firearms on campus. Students risk expulsion and staff risk being fired if they violate these policies.

Unfortunately, these "no guns" policies only disarm the law-abiding who are then unable to provide for their own defense, as seen in recent school massacres where such gun control was in effect.

Bills were introduced in both 2006 and 2007 Legislative Sessions to eliminate these college "victim disarmament zones". They were defeated, ensuring that criminals and murderers will have the upper hand.

As the tragedy at Virginia Tech proved, the "I'm unarmed, please don't hurt me" approach is not an effective means of self defense, especially when faced with a violent criminal determined to kill. Unfortunately, the police can't be everywhere all the time and usually arrive <u>after</u> the crime to take a report from any survivors.

Will you vote <u>FOR</u> legislat	ion that would prever	nt public collec	ges and universities
from penalizing students,	faculty, and staff with	n concealed ha	mdgun permits who
carry on campus?	· ·		•

Yes		No	

- 5. Effective July 1, 2010, concealed handgun permit holders are allowed to carry concealed in all restaurants in Virginia provided they don't consume any alcoholic beverages while carrying concealed. Unfortunately, this sets up two classes of individuals allowed to carry concealed handguns in restaurants - one class is allowed to carry and drink and the other is allowed to carry but prohibited from drinking:
 - Allowed to carry concealed handguns and drink alcoholic beverages on & off duty Virginia police officers, retired law enforcement officers, vacationing law enforcement

from other states, and commonwealth Attorneys
 Allowed to carry concealed handguns but prohibited from drinking concealed
handgun permit holders
It seems only appropriate that there should be one standard for those who the Commonwealth deems responsible enough to carry a concealed handgun – either allow both classes to carry and drink or to prohibit both from carrying and drinking. In either case, Section J1 (making it illegal to carry concealed while under the influence) would remain unchanged.
Will you vote <u>FOR</u> legislation to repeal this double standard on carrying of concealed handguns in restaurants licensed to sell alcoholic beverages for on premises consumption?
Yes No
6. Virginia law prohibits the carrying of firearms in courthouses, on K-12 school property, the terminals of certain airports, and in places of religious worship while a religious service is in progress. Other than these restrictions, Virginia does not have any law prohibiting law-abiding citizens from legally carrying a firearm in a public place in open view (open carry). Thus, no permit is required in Virginia to open carry. However, Virginia does require a permit for those who choose to carry a firearm concealed.
Four states recognize that every citizen has the right to carry a gun, openly or concealed, for all lawful purposes – Vermont, Alaska, Arizona, and Wyoming. While Vermont does not issue permits at all, Alaska, Arizona, and Wyoming continue to issue optional permits for those who wish to take advantage of reciprocity agreements with other states. Many are calling this "Constitutional Carry"
Will you vote <u>FOR</u> "Constitutional Carry" legislation that would allow law-abiding citizens to carry firearms openly or concealed (at the individual's discretion) for any reason except for the commission of a crime?
YesNo
7. Gun control advocates frequently attempt to discourage gun ownership by creating a patchwork of differing local government ordinances. Most recently, efforts have been made to authorize Roanoke, Richmond, Norfolk, and Fairfax County to enact ordinances restricting the carrying or possession of firearms in certain municipal facilities.
Will you vote <u>AGAINST</u> any bill that would allow localities to restrict the carrying or possession of firearms by law-abiding citizens in recreation centers, libraries, or other local government facilities?
Yes No
Page 3 of 6

8. Like most states, Virginia preempts localities from enforcing their own homemade gun control ordinances to prevent gun owners from running afoul of a patchwork of criminal laws. But even though statewide firearms preemption was enacted in Virginia way back in 1987, and was further clarified and strengthened in 2002, 2003, and 2004, localities continue to enact and enforce local gun control ordinances. As recently as Spring 2007, the City of Norfolk enacted a "gun ban" and then proceeded to arrest a law-abiding individual for violating this invalid local ordinance.

Individuals who violate the law are subject to mandatory penalties. But localities, even when losin court when these illegal gun bans are challenged, merely MAY have to pay reasonable attorne fees, expenses, and court costs to citizens who prevail in declaratory actions.
Will you vote <u>FOR</u> legislation that would REQUIRE localities to pay reasonable attorney fees, expenses, and court costs to citizens who prevail in declaratory actions against illegal gun bans?
YesNo
9. Most firearms experts recognize that mechanical devices, such as trigger locks, create an extremely dangerous condition, whereby a gun can be fired accidentally. These dangerous "lock up your safety" devices may render a handgun ineffective when most needed and leave an individual or family defenseless and vulnerable to attack. Additionally, trigger locks induce a false sense of security like child safety caps did when they were mandated resulting in a significant increase in child poisonings when parents came to rely on the "safety" caps rather than education to protect their children.
Will you vote <u>AGAINST</u> government mandated use of trigger locks or other such hazardous "safety" devices which have the effect of making it difficult, if not impossible, to have a gun available to defend your home and family?
Yes No
10. Most experts agree that "ballistic fingerprinting" is not a valid fingerprinting of firearms but rather just a snapshot in time because the markings on the shell and bullets change over time with use, parts replacement, and/or intentional modifications.
Two studies done for the California Department of Justice concluded that ballistic fingerprinting is not a viable methodology. Most recently, a Maryland State Police report on Maryland's ballistic fingerprinting program called it expensive and ineffective. It is not only a waste of taxpayer dollars but prohibitively increases costs for hobbyists and sportsmen. In addition, the head of the Maryland State Police testified before a Maryland House committee that the mandate to collect ballistics information hasn't helped solve any crimes.
Will you vote <u>AGAINST</u> any legislation designed to impose these useless and expensive "feel good" ballistic fingerprinting schemes in the Commonwealth?
Yes No

11. Gun control advocates have made a concerted effort to demonize gun shows as a place where criminals have ready access to firearms. However, according to a 2001 U.S. Department of Justice report, only 0.7% of criminals got their guns from gun shows.

In Virginia, the State Police maintain strict control over all gun shows and dealers are required to ensure that all their firearm transfers are approved by the State Police whether the transfer is consummated at a gun show or at the dealer's place of business.

The terms "gun show loophole" and "unlicensed gun dealer" have been fabricated to mislead the public into believing that gun shows permit gun transfers that would be forbidden anywhere else. There is nothing that can be done legally at a gun show that cannot also be done legally outside of a gun show.

_	
	imaginary "gun show loophole" is just the first step in a campaign to criminalize all private gun transfers.
	you vote <u>AGAINST</u> any legislation designed to ban or restrict non-dealer private transfers (whether at gun shows or outside of gun shows)?
Yes	No

12. In 1993, Governor Doug Wilder led an emotional stampede that limited the number of handguns law-abiding citizens may purchase to one every 30 days — with police permission required for multiple purchases. Today, most Americans realize that: 1) criminals will always find ways of obtaining weapons whether legally or illegally and 2) limiting the legal activities of honest citizens reduces popular support for laws in general and undermines our legal system.

In addition, South Carolina was the first state to pass a "one-gun-a-month" law and has recently repealed that law.

Will you	vote <u>FOR</u>	legislation	to repeal	Virginia's	"one-gun-a-mon	th" rationing la	1W?
Yes	No						

- 13. Gun control advocates have attempted to ban various classes of firearms and related items such as:
 - semi-automatic copies of military rifles and carbines (strictly due to their cosmetic appearance when they are, in fact, identical in function to standard semi-automatic hunting rifles)
 - inexpensive and affordable handguns (demonized as "Saturday Night Specials")
 - high-capacity magazines
 - expensive, top shelf guns (like .50 caliber rifles)
 - etc.

Will	you	OPPO	<u>DSE</u>	ALL	gun	bans
Yes		No				

criminal and civil immunity to family from a violent intruder.		n in his own home to pro	100-0) to provide tect himself or his
prosecution and civi	gislation to give homeow il suit if they use a firearm families from a violent int	in their own home to	
Yes No			•
15. Near the end of the 2004 passed a precedent-setting end Capitol and the General Assemble, most members of the expublic, most members of the expublic, most members of the expublic and the General Assemble, and the lawful possession of Local jurisdictions that enact of	dict banning the possession ambly Building (GAB). The General Assembly, and ever sistence of this gun ban rule on the possession of the po	n of firearms by most of the measure was enacted so the measure was enacted so the measure was enacted so that and file Capitol For any shortly before the son this "General Assemble Rules Committee the way gun bans in violation	the public at the o quietly that the colice Officers 2005 Legislative ably Building Gun especific authority
frequently refer contemptuous	sly to this GAB ban as proo	f of the legislature's hype	ocrisy.
Will you vote <u>FOR</u> ov	verturning this GAB gun l	oan?	
Yes No		•	
Feel free to attach additional	commonts to this survey	*	. • "
reel nee to attach additional t	comments to this survey.		•
			•
			,
			•
		1	•
	Candidate Author	rization	
My signature affirms that the answe	Candidate Author		didate for elective office.
My signature affirms that the answer			didate for elective office.